arXiv:hep-ph/0002131v2 15 Feb 2000

IRB-TH-11/99
December, 1999

CURRENT STATUS OF RADIATIVE B DECAYS

J. Trampetić
Theoretical Physics Division, Rudjer Bošković Institute,
P.O.Box 1016, HR-10001 Zagreb, Croatia
E-mail: `josip@thphys.irb.hr`

Abstract

A current status report on radiative inclusive and exclusive B decays is presented.

Talk given at The 3rd *International Conference on B Physics and CP Violation, Taipei, Taiwan, December 3-7, 1999*
To appear in the Proceedings

CURRENT STATUS OF RADIATIVE B DECAYS

JOSIP TRAMPETIĆ
Theoretical Physics Division, Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia
E-mail: josip@thphys.irb.hr

A current status report on radiative inclusive and exclusive B decays is presented.

1 Introduction

The experimental challenge of finding new physics in direct search may still take some time if new particles or their effects set in only at several hundred GeV. Complementary to these direct signals at highest available energies are the measurements of the effects of new "heavy" particles in loops, through either precision measurements or detection of processes occurring only at one loop in the standard model (SM). Among these are the transitions induced by flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC), such as $b \to s\gamma$.

The first observations of the exclusive $B \to K^*\gamma$ and inclusive $B \to X_s\gamma$ decays were reported in 1993/94 by the CLEO collaboration.[1] Skwarnicki from the CLEOII&II.V reported the recent exclusive branching ratios:[1]

$$BR(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) = 4.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}, \tag{1}$$

$$BR(B^- \to K^{*-}\gamma) = 3.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}, \tag{2}$$

$$BR(B \to K_2^*(1430)\gamma) = 1.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-5}, \tag{3}$$

$$BR(B \to K^*(1410)\gamma) < 12.7 \times 10^{-5}, \tag{4}$$

$$BR(B \to K_2^*(1430)\gamma)/BR(B \to K^*\gamma) = 0.4 \pm 0.1. \tag{5}$$

The measurements envolving higher resonant K^*-states are still preliminary, since the error of $\sim 100MeV$ in the final-state mass spectrum of means that we do not know what resonant state has been observed[1]. This year, CLEOII&II.V collaborations have also reported the inclusive branching ratio

$$BR(B \to X_s\gamma) = 3.15 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.41 \times 10^{-4}. \tag{6}$$

Using the latest results for inclusive and exclusive branching ratios (BR) , we have obtained the following central value for the so-called hadronization rate: $R_{K^*}^{exp} \simeq 13\%$. Note here that Skwarnicki has also reported preliminary results for exclusive modes based on the quark $b \to d\gamma$ electroweak transition:[1]
$BR(B^0 \to \rho^0\gamma) < 4 \times 10^{-5}; BR(B^{0(-)} \to \omega(\rho^-)\gamma) < 1 \times 10^{-5}$.

2 NNL QCD Corrected $b \to s\gamma$ Transition

The $b \to s\gamma$ process is a one-loop electroweak process, where the extra gluon exchange (QCD corrections) changes the nature, i.e. the functional structure of the GIM cancellation:[2] $(m_t^2 - m_c^2)/m_w^2 \to \ln(m_t^2/m_c^2)$.

In the standard model, B decays are described by the effective Hamiltonian obtained by integrating out the top-quark and W-boson fields:

$$H^{\rm eff}_{\Delta B=1}(b \to s\gamma) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_{i=1}^{8} c_i(\mu)\ O_i(\mu), \tag{7}$$

where c_i's are the well-known Wilson coefficients. The SM theoretical prediction, up to Next-to-Leading Order (NLO)[3] in $\alpha_s ln(m_w/m_b)$, is considerably larger than the lowest-order result.[4] Buras et al.[5] performed a new analysis by using expansions in powers of α_s and reported a slightly higher, short-distance (SD) result: $BR(B \to X_s\gamma)_{\rm NLO} = (3.60 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-4}$.

3 Exclusive Radiative B Decays

Exclusive modes are, in principle, affected by large theoretical uncertainties due to the poor knowledge of nonperturbative dynamics and of a correct treatment of large recoil-momenta, determining form factors.

The Lorentz decomposition of the $B - K^*$ matrix element of the operator O_7, taking into account the gauge condition, the current conservation, the spin symmetry and for the on-shell photon, gives the following hadronization rate R_{K^*}:[6]

$$R_{K^*} = \frac{\Gamma(B \to K^*\gamma)}{\Gamma(b \to s\gamma)} = [\frac{m_b(m_B^2 - m_{K^*}^2)}{m_B(m_b^2 - m_s^2)}]^3 (1 + \frac{m_s^2}{m_b^2})^{-1} |T_1^{K^*}(0)|^2. \tag{8}$$

Since the first calculation of the hadronization rate $R_{K^*} \approx 6\%$ by Deshpande at al.[6] a large number of papers have reported R_{K^*} from the range of 5% to (unrealistic) 80%. Different methods have been employed, from simple quark models, QCD sum rules, HQET and chiral symmetry, QCD on the lattice, light cone sum rules, to the perturbative QCD type of evaluations of exclusive modes.[6] In any event, the above form factor will be obtained in the future from first-principle calculations on the lattice. Recently, it looks like that the hadronization rate calculations have stabilized around 10%.

If long-distance (LD) and other nonperturbative effects are neglected, two exclusive modes are connected by a simple relation

$$BR(B \to \rho\gamma) = \xi^2 \left|V_{td}/V_{ts}\right|^2 BR(B \to K^*\gamma), \tag{9}$$

where ξ measures the SU(3) breaking effects. They are typically of the order of 30%.[6] Misiak has reported the SD BR's:[7]

$$BR(b \to d\gamma) = 1.61 \times 10^{-5}; BR(B^+ \to \rho^+\gamma) = [1,4] \times 10^{-6}, \qquad (10)$$

$$BR(B^0 \to \rho^0\gamma) = BR(B^0 \to \omega\gamma) = [0.5,2] \times 10^{-6}. \qquad (11)$$

4 LD Contributions to Inclusive/Exclusive B Decays

First note that LD corrections cannot be computed from first principles; it is possible to estimate them phenomenologically.[8] For instance, the operators $O_{1,2}$ contain the $\bar{c}c$ current. So one could imagine the $\bar{c}c$ pair propagating through a long distance, forming intermediate $\bar{c}c$ states (off-shell J/ψ's), which turn into a photon via the vector meson dominance (VMD).

The total (SD + LD) amplitude for $b \to d(s)\gamma$ is [8]

$$\begin{aligned} M(b \to d\gamma) = -\frac{eG_F}{2\sqrt{2}}[V_{tb}V_{td}^*(\frac{m_b}{4\pi^2}c_7^{eff}(\mu) - \frac{2}{3}a_2\sum_i \frac{g^2_{\psi_i}(0)}{m^2_{\psi_i}m_b}) \\ -\frac{a_2}{m_b}V_{ub}V_{ud}^*(\frac{2}{3}\sum_i \frac{g^2_{\psi_i}(0)}{m^2_{\psi_i}} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{g^2_\rho(0)}{m^2_\rho} - \frac{1}{6}\frac{g^2_\omega(0)}{m^2_\omega})]\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_5)bF_{\mu\nu}. \qquad (12) \end{aligned}$$

If in the above equation we replace the d by the s quark and forget the last three terms, then we obtain the total amplitude for the $b \to s\gamma$ decay. Deshpande et al[8] have found a strong suppression when extrapolating $g_\psi(m^2_\psi)$ to $g_\psi(0)$: $g^2_{\psi(1S)}(0)/g^2_{\psi(1S)}(m^2_\psi) = 0.13 \pm 0.04$. The LD contributions to an inclusive mode and to its exclusive modes are all found to be small, typically one to two orders of magnitude below the SD's.[8]

5 Discussion and Conclusions

Note that the so-called spectator-quark contributions[9] and the first calculable nonperturbative, essentialy long distance, correction[10] to the inclusive rate are of the order of a few percent. It has also been proved that the fermionic (quarks and leptons) and photonic loop corrections to $b \to s\gamma$ reduce $BR(b \to s\gamma)/BR(b \to ce\bar{\nu})$ by $\sim 8 \pm 2\%$.[11] Consequently, it is more appropriate to use $\alpha_{em} = 1/137$ for the real photon emission.[11,7]

In general, we can conclude that, in theory, more effort is required in calculating quark (inclusive) decays through higher loops. A better understanding of bound states of heavy-light quarks (B-meson ect.) and highly recoiled light-quark bound states (K^*, ρ, ...) is desirable. This can be achived by inventing new, more sophisticated (perturbative) methods[12] and apply them to the calculation of radiative B-meson decays, which incorporate the full spectrum of quark bound states (K^*, ρ, K_1^*, …). In experiment, with a larger amount of data we might expect a stable but small increase of $BR(b \to s\gamma)$, a decrease of $BR(B \to K^*\gamma)$, determinations of $BR(B \to K_1^*\gamma)$ and $BR(B \to K_2^*\gamma)$ and first measurements of $BR(b \to d\gamma)$ and $BR(B \to \rho\gamma)$.

References

1. R. Ammar *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 674 (1993); M.S. Alam *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 2885 (1995); T. Skwarnicki, this Proceedings.
2. S. Bertolini, F. Borzumati, A. Masiero, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 180 (1987); N.G. Deshpande, P. Lo, J. Trampetić, G. Eilam, P. Singer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 183 (1987).
3. K. Chetyrkin, M. Misiak, M. Münz, *Phys. Lett.* B **400**, 206 (1997); C. Greub, T. Hurth, PRD **56**, 2934 (1997); M. Ciuchini, G. Degrassi, P. Gambino, G.F. Giudice, *Nucl. Phys.* B **527**, 21 (1998).
4. B. Grinstein, R. Springer, M.B. Wise, *Nucl. Phys.* B **339**, 269 (1990);
5. A. Buras, A. Kwiatkowski, N. Pott, *Phys. Lett.* B **414**, 157 (1997); *Phys. Lett.* B **434**, 459 (1998)(erratum).
6. N.G. Deshpande *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 183 (1987); *Z. Phys.* **C40**, 369 (1988). P. Colangelo, C.A. Dominguez, G. Nardulli, N. Paver, *Phys. Lett.* B **317**, 183 (1993); R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, G. Narduli, *Phys. Lett.* B **312**, 315 (1993); K.C. Bowler *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 1398 (1994); L. Del Debbio, J. Flynn, L. Lellouch, J. Nieves, *Phys. Lett.* B **416**, 392 (1998); P. Ball, V.M. Braun, *Phys. Rev.* D **58**, 094016 (1998); H.H. Asatryan, H.M. Asatryan, D. Wyler, *Phys. Lett.* B **470**, 223 (1999).
7. M. Misiak, hep-ph/0002007, this Proceedings.
8. E. Golowich, S. Pakvasa, *Phys. Lett.* B **205**, 393 (1988); J. Trampetić, *Fizika* **B2**, 121 (1993); and a paper in preparation; N.G. Deshpande, X.-G. He, J. Trampetić, *Phys. Lett.* B **367**, 362 (1996).
9. J.F. Donoghue, A.A. Petrov *Phys. Rev.* D **53**, 3664 (1996).
10. M.B. Voloshin, *Phys. Lett.* B **397**, 275 (1997).
11. A. Czarnecki, W. Marciano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 277 (1998);
12. H. Simma, D. Wyler, *Phys. Lett.* B **272**, 395 (1991); B. Melić, *Phys. Rev.* D **59**, 074005 (1999); M. Benecke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, C.T. Sachrajda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 1914 (1999).